

^{1.}Abdulrasag Ayinla CHINDO, ^{2.}Fatai B. SULE, ^{3.}Sulyman Balogun LAITAN, ^{4.}Wahab A. SALAMI, ^{5.}Laniyi Laniran LADOKUN

A COMPLEMENTARY APPROACH TO PREDICTING MAGNITUDE OF FLOOD ALONG FOMA **RIVER USING CROSS-SECTIONAL VARIABLES**

^{1.5} National Centre for Hydropower Research and Development, Energy Commission of Nigeria, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, NIGERIA ²⁴Department of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, NIGERIA

³Department of Food and Agricultural Engineering, Kwara State University, Malete, Kwara State, NIGERIA

Abstract: Flood hazards have been on the increase in recent years especially along the riverbank. The hazards tends to impact on human lives and results in severe economic damages across the world. However, forecasting the magnitude of flood especially in Nigeria across the coastal areas have been hindered by several complications, including inaccurate data, poor assessment of drainage basin, pollution, and encroachment. This study made use of the Geographical Information System (GIS) tools to derive crosssectional variables that were significant in complementing the prediction of the magnitude of flood along Foma-river areas. Global Position System (GPS) was used to obtain the coordinate points along the river areas, Google earth imagery and topographical data of the study areas were obtained. The basin areas, streamlines, lengths of the river and its tributaries were also generated. The buffering of the river in 15 and 30 meters exposes the vulnerability status of structures along the river. Out of the 530 structures captured, 49 structures were highly vulnerable, while 105 structures were vulnerable to flood hazards. The predictive accuracy of the ordered logit model approximated 81%. While a 10% error in classification was resulting from the harmonization of the precision value (0.8026) and the recall value (0.6386). The cross-sectional variables that were found to be significant at $\alpha = 0.005\%$ are the river watersheds, the vulnerability status classification of structure across the river areas, the vulnerable structures identified, inadequate bridges and culverts along the river areas, inappropriate size of bridges and culverts, and extreme pollution along the river areas. This study is recommending the use of significant cross-sectional variables to complement the prediction of magnitude of flood along the riverbanks.

Keywords: buffering, cross-sectional, georeferenced, magnitude, spatial

INTRODUCTION

natural hazards, which tend to impact on human lives and of several streams or rivers with their peculiar factors and results in serious economic damages across the world. Its intensity tends to threaten the entire world due to the Studies have established that Geographic Information underlining effect of climate change (Hasselaar, 2020). However, evaluating the possibility and magnitude of flood has been hindered by several complications including, climate change, inaccurate data, poor assessment of solving, decision-making processes, and as tool for drainage basin, pollution, and encroachment (Ayanshola, et al., 2018). Studies have reported some difficulties in sampling 2020). The tool has several advantages, which includes, technique of conventional rain and discharge measurement, analysing geographical data to determine the location of which have hindered the accurate evaluation of the structures and magnitude of flood, especially along the river areas. The work of Nassery, et al., (2017), also established that many existing prediction equations are based on experimental data having many experimental and constant parameters with an ambiguous estimate often required to be fixed. Such techniques will give a complementary approach to problems from previous predictions are the difficulty in the determine cross-sectional variables which are significant to sampling of conventional rain and discharge measurement networks that makes it difficult to predict accurately.

level of flood guite differs from one river to the other even despite being in the same geographical location. This can be some peculiar characteristic that dictates the direction of attributed to both natural and human factors such as flow of flood in each river or stream rather than just a watershed, drainage basin, drainage capacity, level of pollution, encroachment activities and many others (Du, et accurate. Figure 1 presents the watersheds of Foma river al.. 2019). Studies mostly focus on the relationship between areas. the amount of rainfall and the magnitude of flood. This practice cannot be so accurate because, in actual sense,

rainfall often not evenly distributed along the same Floods are among the most periodic and overwhelming geographical location, which may likely have the presence determinants (Du et al., 2019).

System (GIS) is a very powerful tools that allows the collection, processing of geographically related data. The tool has been equally used as an instrument in problemvisualizing data in a spatial location (Kraak, & Ormeling, relationships to other landscapes, determination of watershed, and drainage density, what is likely to happen to an area of interest, and particularly, how and in what way an area has changed over time (Picuno, et al., 2019). The realization of data with the use of GIS predicting the magnitude of flood along the river course. Cross-sectional variables can be observed at the local scale. The existing assessment of rivers tends to indicate that the The procedures involve numerical data about intrusion and runoff dynamics (Rogger, et al., 2017). The variables have prediction through generalization which may not be so







Figure 1. Foma River Watersheds

THE CHALLENGE OF FLOOD FORECASTING AND MITIGATION IN NIGERIA

Nigeria is likely to face the consequences of climate change due to its geographical location. The country is bounded by located along Atlantic Ocean to the south and the Sahara Desert to the north. This, by implication may lead to an increase in the temperature that influences the rainfall pattern and resulting in the rise of extreme drought and flood (Ayanshola, et al., 2018). Due to its location, several cases of flooding in Nigeria have been reported in recent times, mostly in Sokoto, Lagos, Ibadan, Abeokuta, Gusau, and Makurdi (Chindo, et al., 2019). Not less than 39 people were killed due to flooding in central Nigeria, Plateau State, towards the end of July 2012. The Lamingo dam had an overflow and swept across several localities in Jos, and about 200 houses were inundated or devastated after protracted rain. At least 35 people were reported missing, prompting the head of the Red Cross organization to announce that relief efforts were being initiated (Chindo, et al., 2019). The spatial distribution of areas extremely affected by the flooding in Nigeria is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Distribution of Areas Affected by Extreme Floods in Nigeria Similarly, Olorunfemi and Raheem (2013 reported that the major causes of flooding in the Ilorin are building on the floodplain, dumping of refuses in drainages and rivers, farming on the floodplain, all of which causes siltation, blocking of water ways and drainage channels and inundation. The city of Ilorin is the Kwara State capital, located in the north-central part of Nigeria. The state is found between the latitude 8°24'N and 8°36'N and between longitude 4°10'W and 4°36'E, also experienced flooding in

some part of its metropolis. During the 2017 raining season, the city of llorin experienced a devastating flood hazard. Many residential buildings were reported to have submerged after a protracted rain that lasted for hours. The heavy rain, which was accompanied by flooding, washed away asphalt on some township road. The ravaging flood also washed away bridges and destroyed valuable properties, as reported in the Nigeria Tribune newspaper (Azeez, 2017). The Alagbado bridge along Foma river which was washed away during the 2017 heavy raining season is captioned in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Alagbado bridge along Foma-river washed away by the flood The aim of this study is to develop a supervised model to complement the prediction of the magnitude of flood along the banks of Foma river. Other sub-objectives are to examine the river buffering in 15 meters and 30 meters across the Foma river floodplain areas, identify the cross-sectional variables in complementing the prediction of the magnitude of flood along the buffering areas of Foma river, determine the significance of the cross-sectional variables in complementing the prediction of the magnitude of flood along Foma river banks using Ordered Logistic Regression (OLR) model, and evaluate the performance of OLR in complementing the prediction of the magnitude of flood along Foma river using performance measurement metrics.

FLOOD EVALUATION USING GIS AND CROSS-SECTIONAL METHODS

Cross-sectional study is an established method to estimate the outcome of interest at a particular time, for a specified location and it is usually applied for health planning, hazard, or risk exposure. In the work of Ezzatvar, et al., (2020), crosssectional study reflected a short period of exposition and has some characteristics associated with a specific period. Crosssection design was used to study the mental health status of adults affected from each of the flood-affected households of Koonimedu village and Tami Nadu. The Study revealed the effects of the flood evidence in relation to standard of living and economy. Similarly, a multidisciplinary evaluation on the effects of green infrastructure and flood administration on physical health, mental health, economy and flood resilience of individuals, households, and communities were carried out by Venkataramanan, et al., (2019). Among the reasons for carrying out the crosssectional study is to describe survey exercise, which usually does not have a hypothesis. The main aim is to describe some groups or sub-groups about the outcome of risk





factors. Also, the goal is to elicit the prevalent outcome of buffered areas of the Foma river. Meanwhile, site (Venkataramanan, et al., 2019).

management has not been an often-used method until the river and pollution rate. The flow chart for the study is shown year 2000. The work of Mejía-Navarro, et al., (1994), initially in Figure 4. used the GIS to estimate several risks in many areas of Colorado, to determine the suitability of land. The development of GIS modelling for excess rainfall was the approach adopted by Schumann, et al. (2000). In Nigeria, Isma'il, and Saanyol (2013) observed that the difficulty in the sampling technique of the conventional rain coupled with discharge measurement networks makes it challenging to observe and predict flood accurately. Similarly, Ngene, et al., (2015) elicited some technical deficiencies that have been preventing Nigeria from getting preferred, and accurate, rainfall data. The research enumerated the present capacity of Nigeria's rain gauge network and the need according to the World Meteorological Organization's (WMO) guideline. Nigeria presently has 87 rain gauges, instead of 1057 (Ngene, et al., 2015). In essence, the country needs extra gauges of 970 to achieve a gauge density of 874 km² per gauge for the appropriate measurement of rainfall. Because of this deficiency and based on the current insufficiency of gauges, Nigeria is suffering from a 10% error in design. Because the standard condition to minimize and maximize the effectiveness for areas on the temperate Mediterranean and tropical is a range of 600-900 km², the inaccurate records of rain data led Nigeria to be hugely affected by the devastating flood of September 2012. This event had negative effects on the economy, roads, ports, rail lines, and most especially the water infrastructures (Ngene, et al., 2015).

METHODOLOGY

This study focused on assessment of a complementary approach to flood prediction using the GIS software. The software was initiated through Global Positioning System (GPS) to obtain the coordinates of the river channels, while the images of the earth are referenced in eastern (X) and northern (Y) coordinates. The processes elicited some crosssectional variables from the river areas, which are significant in determining the magnitude of flood along the Foma river channel. Arc GIS 9.3° software was used to analyse highresolution imagery from google earth.

– Research Designs

The problem-focused upon and addressed in this study is to develop a supervised model of cross-sectional variables to complement the prediction of the magnitude of flood along the Foma river. This study investigated how GIS generated variables and direct observation can be utilized to develop a supervised model in predicting the magnitude of flood (dependent variable) along the Foma river. The GIS application elicited the river buffer to determine the vulnerable areas, generate watersheds, obtain the drainage

interest for a descriptive population or group at a given time observations resulted in the location of the bridges and culverts along the river, the size of the bridges and culverts The GIS application to flood hazard evaluation and measured, the observation of specific location along the



Figure 4. Flow Chart Showing the Research Design

The use of GIS tools and methods ensure the generation and observation of some cross-sectional variables that are suspected to be significant in predicting the magnitude of flood along the Foma river. Table 1 presents the crosssection variables that were derived through the application of GIS and site observations.

Table 1. Cross-sectional Variables from Foma river Areas

Variable Name	Task	Values	Data Type		
River Watershed	Input	Shed1, Shed2, Shed3, Shed4	Nominal		
Drainage Density	Input	0.0001, 0.0002, 0.0005, 0.0007	Ordinal		
Vulnerable Status of structures	Input	Not Vulnerable, Fairly Vulnerable, Highly Vulnerable	Ordinal		
Types of Vulnerable Structures	Input	Hospital, Police post, Fishery ponds, Abattoir, Educational, Commercials, Slum, Agriculture, Residentials	Nominal		
Bridges and CAIS, Apal Culverts Input Ajetunmal oyo, Ala		CAIS, Apalara, Oke-foma, Foma-bridge, Ajetunmabi, Oloje-bridge, Abata Baba- oyo, Alagbado Bridge, Sobi-bridge	Nominal		
Size of Bridges and Culvert (m)	Input	2.1, 4.5, 7.2, 11.2, 14.9, 15, 19.5, 60.8	Ordinal		
River Point	River Point Input Source, Middle, Extreme, Terminal		Nominal		
River Pollution	Input	Fair, High, Severe, Extreme	Ordinal		
Magnitude of Flood	Target	Mild, Moderate, Severe, Extreme	Ordinal		
G F: LUNA L (2010)					

Source: Field Work (2019)

The study captured the vulnerability status of structures induced by the flood activities along the course of the Foma river using remote sensing technique. This was carried out on flood-prone areas and the buffering examined using Arc-GIS. Structures located within 15 meters of the river bank were considered highly vulnerable to flood hazards, while those structures within 30 meters to the river were considered fairly vulnerable (The map of Ilorin west was acquired to create a database for the buffering). Also, Foma river map was extracted, georeferenced and digitalized into 1:50,000 from the topographical map of Kwara state. The digitalization of the map involves the process of electronic densities, and determine the vulnerable structures along the scanning in order to convert it to points and lines using on-



ACTA TECHNICA CORVINIENSIS – Bulletin of Engineering Tome XV [2022] | Fascicule 4 [October – December]

screen digitization. Specifications were then made to use of ordered logit approach due to its capability to predict identify the objects on the map so that the Arc-GIS was the presence or absence of a dependent variable. Also, its linked using the spatial data with attributes of identified uniqueness in predicting the probability of each character in structures. The buffering of the river revealed the number of the model because the chance is a ratio. The interpretation structures that were highly vulnerable, fairly vulnerable and of results in the odd ratio, parameter estimate, and those that cannot be affected by flood hazards. Figure 5 exhibits the status of vulnerable structures along the river areas, while Table 2 reflects the delineation of the vulnerable than two categories, and the interval between the status and number of structures within each drainage area along the Foma river.



Figure 5. Showing Vulnerable status of structures along Foma river areas Table 2: Vulnerable Status Classification along the River

ID	Description	Frequency
0	Not Vulnerable	377
1	Fairy Vulnerable	105
2	Highly Vulnerable	49

To carry out the pre-classification exercises, the original sample was split into 90/10 % repeated seed training/ testing sets. A non-exhaustive cross-validation k-fold was used with k=10 so that the original sample be randomly flood. Therefore, the unknown parameters α_i are estimated divided into k equal sized subsamples. Thus, taking out the jointly with β_s via maximum likelihood. The α_i estimates are subsample to be known as validation variables to test the model, where outstanding k-1 subsamples were considered as training data. The process is repeated until every k-fold serves as the test set, such that the average record scores (E) of the 10 folds become the performance metric of the model. Where E as defined in equation 1 is the addition of performance scores in the iteration.

$$E = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} E_i$$

F



Figure 6. Cross-validation technique in the study

The cross-validation technique in the study is demonstrated in Figure 6.

However, the dependent variable (magnitude of the flood) is taking more than two categories. Thus, we employed the



probability is guite an added advantage in the area of the results' analyses. Since the dependent variable has more categories was in the relative sequential order in a way that the value is indeed higher than the previous one, then the ordered logit approach would be deemed applicable.

Ordered response models are usually applied when the dependent variable is discrete and when there is ordered measurement. In general, consider an ordered response variable Y, which can take the value Y+1, 0, 1, 2.....j. Such that the general linear function

$$\widehat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{E} \tag{2}$$

The latent variable $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}$ is not directly observed, thus, the threshold set by which the observed value change as the predicted, otherwise known as 'CUT POINT'. Cut points establish the relationship between $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ and \mathbf{Y} , let α_i be the threshold. Then.

$$Y= \begin{array}{cccc} Y_{o} & \text{if} \quad \mathbf{Y} < \alpha_{o} \\ Y_{1} & \text{if} \quad \alpha_{o} \leq \mathbf{\widehat{Y}} \leq \alpha_{1} \\ Y_{2} & \text{if} \quad \alpha_{1} \leq \mathbf{\widehat{Y}} \leq \alpha_{2} \\ Y_{3} & \text{if} \quad \mathbf{\widehat{Y}} \geq \alpha_{2} \end{array}$$
(3)

The response variable Y takes four value categories: 0 = mildflood, 1= moderate flood, 2= severe flood, and 3= extreme reported on Gretl as cut₁, cut₂, and cut₃ in this case. In other to apply the models in Gretl, the dependent variable must either take only non-negative integer values or be explicitly marked.

- Measurement Metrics to Determine the Performance Level of OLR

In the multi-class measurement, errors in classification have (1) different implications. Errors in classifying Y as X may likely to have different weighted implications than classifying C as D, and many more of such errors. The accuracy measure does not take any of such problem into account. The predetermined assumption was that the sample distribution among classes is balanced. Thus, in the case of imbalanced distribution, the most commonly used classification approach repeatedly produces a disappointing estimate. In this case, the conventional approaches need to be reexamined to address the problem of imbalanced data classification. However, the confusion matrix will create an error table to derive the measurement metrics.

In order to determine the level of accuracy of the significant classifications, the study developed 4 by 4 confusion matrices for each of the 10 folds. The matrices enabled the derivation of the measurement metrics (accuracy, F1-Score, precision, and recall). Previous studies have established that accuracy works well in describing balanced data and





ACTA TECHNICA CORVINIENSIS - Bulletin of Engineering Tome XIV [2022] | Fascicule 4 [October – December]

performance misleading the in imbalanced data. Additionally, F1-score has proven to be a useful metric when model, F1-score metric was used to measure the OLR the data is imbalanced.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 10-folds cross-validation classification accuracy is demonstrated in Table 3.

|--|

	Ordered Logit Accuracy For the Folds						
Fold1	Fold2	Fold3	Fold4	Fold5			
80.7	80.5	80.5	80.5	80.3			
Fold6	Fold7	Fold8	Fold9	Fold10	Average		
81.1	81.3	80.1	81.6	80.3	80.7%		

It was observed that the average number of cases correctly predicted is 80.7%. By this impression, the OLR model is said to be approximately 81% good to predict the magnitude of flood along the Foma river areas. With this classification accuracy, the variables are well fitted to complement the prediction of the magnitude along the Foma river flood. This correct percentage classification is guite high and explains how strongly significant the variables are. Similarly, this study presented eight (8) cross-sectional variables in predicting the magnitude of flood along the Foma river for classification. However, six (6) out of the eight (8) variables' average P-values were less than 0.05. The six variables were found significant and relevant to complement the prediction of the magnitude of flood along the Foma river flood channel. The 6 cross-sectional variables are the river watersheds, vulnerable status, vulnerable structures, bridges and culverts (B & C), size of bridges and culverts and river pollution. Meanwhile, the 2 other cross-sectional variables were omitted due to exact collinearity, which indicated serial linearity between the two variables; they are the river drainage density and river points along the river channel.

There was an indication of a continuous increase in the probability of the magnitude of flood along the river which was demonstrated by the cut point estimates. The estimates of P-values were highly significant all through the folds, and their coefficients were equally positive. The significance of the P-value is an indication that there is a steady and continuous rise in the level of magnitude of flood across the Foma river areas. Meanwhile, due to the imbalanced data distribution, this study further evaluates the level of significance of the cross-sectional variables using the measurement metrics.

The Measurement Metrics

The OLR model estimate was guite high which is at 81%, this suggested a high level of classification of the cross-sectional variables in complementing the prediction of the magnitude of flood along Foma river. This study further described the classification performance of OLR using the measurement metrics due to the high disparity in the sampling distribution. Figure 7 demonstrates the level of sampling disparity in the study. There was an indication of high disparity in the magnitude of flood along the Foma river areas. Thus, the prediction of the magnitude of flood tends to favour the higher categories compared to the lower

categories. In order to describe the performance of the OLR performance and minimize the sampling disparities through the use of precision and recall.



Figure 7. Level of magnitude of flood along Foma river areas

The weighted average of precision and recall was used to measure how good the OLR classification is at predicting the magnitude of flood along Foma river. The fourmeasurement metrics employed in this study are the accuracy, precision, recall and F1-score to determine the strength of the prediction. The results of four-measurement metrics for the models are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Values of the Measurement Metrics

Folde	Measurement metrics				
FUIUS	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-score	
Fold – 1	0.8092	0.8778	0.6462	0.7444	
Fold -2	0.8050	0.8764	0.6390	0.7390	
Fold - 3	0.8050	0.8761	0.6397	0.7394	
Fold – 4	0.8050	0.6262	0.6209	0.6236	
Fold - 5	0.8029	0.8739	0.6381	0.7376	
Fold – 6	0.8113	0.6321	0.6259	0.629	
Fold – 7	0.8134	0.8822	0.6681	0.7601	
Fold – 8	0.8008	0.6224	0.6221	0.6222	
Fold – 9	0.8155	0.8833	0.6463	0.7466	
Fold - 10	0.8029	0.8750	0.6396	0.7390	
Average	0.8071	0.8026	0.6386	0.7081	



Figure 8. Supervised Model for Complementing the Prediction of Magnitude of Flood along Foma river using Cross-sectional Variables

The average values of each of the multi-class metrics derived in Table 4 were directed towards determining the performance of the OLR model in predicting the magnitude of flood along Foma river areas. Figure 8 illustrates the supervised model for complementing the prediction of the magnitude of flood using cross-sectional variables.



ACTA TECHNICA CORVINIENSIS – Bulletin of Engineering Tome XV [2022] | Fascicule 4 [October – December]

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study examined the influences of precision, recall, and F1-score on the process of adjusting the inherent sampling [8] distribution along the course of offering a significant crosssectional variable in complementing the prediction of the [9] magnitude of flood along Foma river areas. The ordered logit regression average prediction value 80.71% is vulnerable to error due to the high disparity in the sampling [10] distribution. Consequently, the model was subjected to further evaluation using the F1-score analysis. The F1--score made use of the weighted averages of precision value [11] (0.8026) and recall value (0.6386) to reduce the sampling error by approximately 10%, such that, the model's average capacity to predict the magnitude of flood along Foma river ^[12] areas is 70.81%. Similarly, the model classification provided six (6) out of the eight (8) cross-sectional variables evaluated to be significant in complementing the prediction of the magnitude of flood along Foma river areas. The average Pvalues of the six cross-sectional variables are less than 0.05. While the other two variables were considered insignificant [14] due to absolute collinearity.

The river buffer areas within 15 meters and 30 meters established the vulnerability status of structures along the Foma river floodplain. This exercise identified a total number of 154 structures to be vulnerable to flood hazards along the riverbank areas. One hundred and five (105) of the structures were vulnerable, while forty-nine (49) similar structures were at a very high risk of flood hazard along the river areas. In conclusion, this study is recommending the use of significant cross-sectional variables to complement the prediction of magnitude of flood along the riverbanks.

References

- Ayanshola, A., Olofintoye, O., &Obadofin, E. (2018). The Impact of Global Warming on Precipitation Patterns in Ilorin and the Hydrological Balance of the Awun Basin. Slovak Journal of Civil Engineering, 26(1), 40–46.
- [2] Biola Azeez (2017). Flood Damages Property in Ilorin-Latest News-Tribune Online. From https://tribuneonline.com. May 28, 2017
- [3] Chindo, A. A., Mohd Shaharanee, I. N., & Mohd Jamil, J. (2019). A conceptual framework for predicting the effects of encroachment on magnitude of flood in Foma-river area, Kwara State, Nigeria using data mining. TEST Engineering & Management, 81, 3913–3918.
- [4] Du, J., Cheng, L., Zhang, Q., Yang, Y., & Xu, W. (2019). Different flooding behaviors due to varied urbanization levels within river Basin: A case study from the Xiang river Basin, China. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science, 10(1), 89–102.
- [5] Ezzatvar, Y., Calatayud, J., Andersen, L. L., Aiguadé, R., Benítez, J., &Casaña, J. (2020). Professional experience, work setting, work posture and workload influence the risk for musculoskeletal pain among physical therapists: a crosssectional study. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 93(2), 189–196.
- [6] Hasselaar, J. J. (2020). Hope in the Context of Climate Change: Jonathan Sacks' Interpretation of the Exodus and Radical Uncertainty. International Journal of Public Theology, 14(2), 224–240.
- [7] Isma'il, M., & Saanyol, I. O. (2013). Application of remote sensing (RS) and geographic information systems (GIS) in flood vulnerability mapping: case

study of River Kaduna. International Journal of Geomatics and Geosciences, 3(3), 618.

- 8] Kraak, M. J., & Ormeling, F. (2020). Cartography: visualization of geospatial data. CRC Press.
- [9] Mejía-Navarro, M., Wohl, E. E., & Oaks, S. D. (1994). Geological hazards, vulnerability, and risk assessment using GIS: model for Glenwood Springs, Colorado. In Geomorphology and Natural Hazards (pp. 331-354). Elsevier.
- 10] Nassery, H. R., Adinehvand, R., Salavitabar, A., &Barati, R. (2017). Water management using system dynamics modeling in semi-arid regions. Civil Engineering Journal, 3(9), 766–778.
- [11] Ngene, B. U., Agunwamba, J. C., Nwachukwu, B. A., & Okoro, B. C. (2015). The Challenges to Nigerian Raingauge Network Improvement. Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences, 7(4), 68–74.
- [12] Olorunfemi, F. B., & Raheem, U. A. (2013). Floods and rainstorms impacts, responses and coping among households in Ilorin, Kwara Stat. Journal of Educational and Social Research, 3(4), 135.
- [13] Picuno, P., Cillis, G., & Statuto, D. (2019). Investigating the time evolution of a rural landscape: How historical maps may provide environmental information when processed using a GIS. Ecological Engineering, 139, 105580.
- [14] Rogger, M., Agnoletti, M., Alaoui, A., Bathurst, J. C., Bodner, G., Borga, M.,& Holden, J. (2017). Land-use change impacts on floods at the catchment scale: Challenges and opportunities for future research. Water resources research, 53(7), 5209–5219.
- [15] Schumann, A. H., Funke, R., & Schultz, G. A. (2000). Application of a geographic information system for conceptual rainfall-runoff modelling. Journal of Hydrology, 240(1-2), 45–61.
- [16] Venkataramanan, V., Packman, A. I., Peters, D. R., Lopez, D., McCuskey, D. J., McDonald, R. I., & Young, S. L. (2019). A systematic review of the human health and social well-being outcomes of green infrastructure for stormwater and flood management. Journal of environmental management, 246, 868– 880.



ISSN: 2067—3809 copyright © University POLITEHNICA Timisoara, Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara, 5, Revolutiei, 331128, Hunedoara, ROMANIA http://acta.fih.upt.ro

