<sup>1.</sup>Muofunanya Francis UMUNNA, <sup>2.</sup>Kayode Joshua SIMONYAN

# THIN LAYER DRYING KINETICS OF INDIGENOUS GINGER RHIZOM, FOR BLANCHED AND UNBLANCHED TREATMENTS, USING ACTIVE SOLAR ENERGY

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Engineering, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Delta State, NIGERIA <sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural and Bio—resources Engineering, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, NIGERIA

**Abstract:** The thin layer drying kinetics of indigenous ginger rhizomes of two varieties which are Umudike Ginger I (UG I) and Umudike Ginger II (UG II) was investigated in an active solar dryer. The blanching experiment was conducted at temperature of 50°C for 3mins, 6mins and 9mins for whole and split samples of peeled and unpeeled treatments before drying. There is a significant difference between the drying curves for whole and split samples which is due to the effect of blanching on the samples. For the unpeeled samples, gradual moisture removal was noted during the drying period. This shows that the thick skin of the unpeeled samples reduces moisture diffusion; it was observed that the drying rate decreased with sample treatments. The result for the effect of splitting on drying characteristics of UG I and UG II samples of peeled and unpeeled treatments under active solar dryer indicates that splitting increases the drying rate. The study indicates that the general objective concerning experimental and analytical studies of thin–layer drying process of ginger rhizomes for an active solar drying of the two ginger varieties were met.

Keywords: Ginger, Solar, drying, blanched and unblanched

# INTRODUCTION

Nigeria is among the major producers and exporters of ginger globally, with an annual production of about 160,000 metric tons (MT) on 48,910 hectares, which is 7.9% of world production (FAO, 2013). Although, it is grown in some States of Nigeria, namely Kaduna, Nasarawa, Benue, Niger, and Gombe. Southern Kaduna in Kaduna State, is the main producing zone with over 95% of the country's total production (Okafor, 2002). According to Fumen et al. (2003) and Yiljep et al. (2005), the two popular ginger varieties produced in the country are the 'Tafin-Giwa,' a yellowish variety with plump rhizomes, and 'Yatsun-Biri,' which is black variety and has small compact rhizomes. Ginger has in abundant volatile and fixed oil, as well as pungent compounds, minerals, resins, starch and protein. (Ravindran and Nirmal, 2005). Drying is a method useful food preservation widely practiced globally. It is the act of extracting the moistness in a product up to a specific threshold value by evaporation. In this way, the product can be stored for an extended period to decrease the product's water activity, reduces microbiological activity, and minimizes physical and chemical changes encountered when stored (Darvishi and Hazbavi, 2012). As cooling is not a viable option to extend ginger's life shelf, an alternative is drying and operations of dryers and improving the existing drying systems. The goal of modern drying nowadays is to minimize the consumption of energy and providing a high

quality of product with a minimal increase in economic inputs, which is attracting an increasing number of applications in the drying process (Darvishi *et al.*,2013).

The method of drying in a thin layer of sample particle is known as thin layer drying (Panchariya et al., 2002). It is also a portion of sample that's fully exposed to air during drying; the layer's depth (thickness) should be consistent, without exceeding three layers of particles (ASAE, 1999). Most agricultural samples are dried by thin-layer drying because of its faster drying rate without losing much of nutrients (Kumar et al., 2011). It is believed that the entire particles are exposed to the drying medium to understand the drying rate and time. The drying periods' knowledge enables us to establish the most economical and practical operating conditions for drvina agricultural products. It is a form of carrying out drying tests on specific materials at various air temperatures, relative humidity, and air velocities (Kumar et al., 2011).

The aim is to minimize deterioration and microbial spoilage by reducing the water level to a certain threshold. Doungporn *et al.* (2012) reported that Fick's second law is usually used to explain liquid diffusion theory, which describes agricultural products' drying phenomenon when employing thin-layer drying equations.

The knowledge of the changes in agricultural products characteristics when subjected to drying process is of fundamental importance for correct storage, processing and the design, fabrication, and operation of equipment applied during the post-harvest processing of these products (Bleoussi et al., 2010). Thereby, it improves food productivity, reduces heavy postharvest losses, and increases the farmers' income by recommending the best drying method for preserving ginger rhizomes. Thus, there is a great need to clearly understand the drying principles and estimate the energy needed to dry ginger rhizomes using the drying method of thin layer drying.

This work's general objective is to carry out thin layer drying kinetics of ginger rhizome using active solar energy and also Determine the effects of treatment, blanched and unblanched for [Split (peeled and unpeeled), Whole (peeled and unpeeled)] on the drying of ginger rhizomes. Justification of this research work can be based on Experimental and analytical studies of thin layer process of drying which are essential for performance improvements of drying systems (Alibas, 2012). Many countries dry vast amounts of food to extend their shelf-life, reduce packaging costs, reduce shipping weights, boost appearance, encapsulate original taste, and keep nutritional value (Gunhan et al., 2010). Imagine what happens when the dryers are improperly produced, the objective of drying will not be achieved, and the product quantity and auality would be compromised.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Material selection and preparation

A custard bowl (4 kg) for each of the two ginger varieties, that is Umudike Ginger I (UG I) and Umudike Ginger II (UG II), were purchased, from the National Root Crop Research Institute (NRCRI), Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. The ginger varieties which were whole, were sorted by their appearance and size, It was kept in the obtain uniformed laboratory to а room atmosphere for about 24 hours of purchase. It was also noted that the ginger varieties were purchased after about five days to one week of harvest.

## Experimental treatments

Four Kilograms each of UG I and UG II was cleaned and separated into samples. One of the samples (4kg) was peeled and splitted with a sharp stainless-steel knife. The UG I and UG II split and whole (peeled and unpeeled) were blanched with the aid of an Electric water bath (DK420 model, Techmel and Techmel, USA) in the Soil and Water Laboratory, Department of Agricultural Bioresources Engineering, and Agriculture, Michael Okpara University of

Umudike, Abia State. The UG I and UG II, were blanched for 3, 6, and 9 minutes, at temperature of 50°C, respectively. Each sample with various treatments was subjected to active solar drying in sequence. Also, unblanched UG I and UG II split and whole (peeled and unpeeled) were subjected to active solar drying to determine the drying rate, drying time, drying efficiency.



Figure 1: UG I and UG II unblanched samples with various treatments (whole peeled, whole unpeeled, split peeled and split unpeeled) using solar dryer.



Figure 2: UG I and UG II blanched samples @ 50°C for 3minutes, 6minutes, and 9minutes respectively for the various treatments (whole peeled, whole unpeeled, split peeled and split unpeeled) using solar dryer. Various treatments (whole peeled, whole unpeeled, split peeled and split unpeeled) using solar dryer.

# Experimental set–up and procedures — Active solar drying method

An existing active solar dryer in the Department of Agricultural and Bioresources Engineering, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, was used in the experiment. It consists of a solar collector, solar panel 180W, DC aspirator fan, drying chamber, heat storage unit, drying trays and a solar battery rated 200Ah. The dryer body was constructed using a transparent cover made of perplex material. The solar panel traps solar energy and uses it to charge the dc battery, which powers the control box; the control box was programmed to regulate the dc blower periodically to aid the moisture removal at the drying chamber. The drying chamber houses the dried products placed in perforated metal trays and has a door to allow for easy loading and unloading of crops in the tray. The heat storage unit was incorporated with black pebbles that trap the sunlight that falls on it and stores it in the form of heat for further use when there is no solar radiation.

There was an aspirator on top of the dryer that forces air from the inlet opening through a solar collector section through the product bed in the drying chamber. Solar radiation falling on the dryer was utilized to heat the air passing through the samples. Heated air is blown through the drying chamber. At the top of the drying chamber, vents were provided through which moisture is removed.

During the experiment, solar radiation intensity, ambient air, drying chamber, collector temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, and material weight loss were measured and recorded at 2 hours intervals. The samples were spread in a thin layer on the metal trays and dried until equilibrium moisture content was achieved. This procedure was followed for blanched and unblanched treatments for Split-Peeled (SP), Split–Unpeeled (SU), Whole–Peeled (WP), and Whole–Unpeeled (WU), respectively. Drying started from 8.00 a.m to 6.00 p.m daily till equilibrium moisture content was reached. The experiment was replicated three times, and the average value was used for further calculations.



Figure 3: A pictorial view of an active solar dryer used for the experiment

# — General observations for active solar drying

Ginger samples, UG I and UG II, were dried for both blanched and unblanched treatments, respectively.

For Blanched treatments, the initial weight of UG I and UG II samples were recorded as  $w0_1$ ,  $w0_2$  and  $w0_3$ , for the three replicates, respectively, and that of weight loss after blanching, was also recorded as  $w1_1$ ,  $w1_2$  and  $w1_3$ , while the weight loss after solar drying at two hours intervals was recorded as  $w2_1$ ,  $w2_2$  and  $w2_3$ .

For unblanched treatments, the initial weight of UG I and UG II samples was recorded as  $w0_1$ ,  $w0_2$  and  $w0_3$ , while the weight loss after solar drying at two hours intervals was recorded as  $w1_1$ ,  $w1_2$  and  $w1_3$ .

The solar dryer's temperature collector, the wind gauge, and the ambient wind gauge were also determined. The environmental factors (relative humidity, temperature, wind speed, and sun intensity) were determined with digital instruments, wind gauge meter, and thermometer aid during the solar drying period.

# - Moisture content determination

UG I and UG II initial moisture contents were determined for about 300g sample quantity, using Mermet oven at 105°C for 24 hours until constant weight reached according to the method described by AOAC (1990). The experiment was replicated three times. The following equation was used to calculate the moisture content on a wet basis:

Mc (w.b) % = 
$$\frac{W_w - W_d}{W_w} x \frac{100}{1}$$
 (1)

where;

Mc = (W.b) moisture content wet basis

Ww = weight of the wet sample (g)

Wd = weight of the dried sample (g)

# — Determination of moisture ratio (MR)

The moisture ratio (MR) of UG I and UG II was determined using equation [2] (Babalis et al., 2004).

$$MR = \frac{Mt - Me}{Ma - Ma}$$
(2)

The moisture ratio is further simplified according to Goyal et al (2007) as:

$$MR = \frac{Mt}{Mo}$$
(3)

where;

MR = moisture ratio

Mt = the moisture content at any time t (g water/g dry matter)

Mo = the initial moisture content (g water/g dry matter)

Me = the equilibrium moisture contents, (g water/g dry matter), respectively

All values expressed as g water/g dry matter. Me's values were determined as the moisture content at the end of drying when the sample ceased to lose mass.

# — Drying rate calculation (DR)

The drying rate was calculated as expressed by (Ceylan et al., 2007; Doymaz, 2007; Ozbek and Dadali, 2007)

$$D_r = \frac{M_{t+dt} - M_t}{dt}$$
(4)

where,

 $M_{t}$  = moisture content at a specific time (g water/g dry matter)

M<sub>t+dt</sub> = moisture content t+dt (g water/g dry matter)

t = drying time (hr)

ACTA TECHNICA CORVINIENSIS – Bulletin of Engineering | e–ISSN: 2067 – 3809 Tome XVII [2024] | Fascicule 2 [April – June]

#### — Determination of effective moisture diffusivity

The effective moisture diffusivity (D<sub>eff</sub>) for a lumped parameter approach considers all possible resistances to moisture transport. When interpreted for an infinite slab in one dimension, assuming negligible temperature gradient within the product, constant temperature, and diffusivity, and no significant external resistance, Moisture transfer during the falling rate drying period of the samples was determined using Fick's Second law as expressed in equation 5 (Aghbashlo et al., 2008).

$$MR = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp\left( -\frac{(2n+1)^2 \pi^2 D_{eff} t}{4L^2} \right)$$
(5)

where; MR is the moisture ratio  $P_{\rm eff}$ 

 $D_{eff}$  = effective diffusivity (m<sup>2</sup>/s)

n=1,2,3, the number of terms taken into consideration

t = the time of drying in second

L=the thickness of the sample (m)

Equation (3.5) is further simplified as shown in equation (3.6) by (Lopez et al., 2000)

$$MR = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \exp\left[\frac{\pi^2 D_{eff} t}{4L^2}\right]$$
(6)

$$MP = \frac{8}{2} \exp(-kt)$$
(7)

$$m = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \exp(-\pi t)$$

The slope k was determined by plotting In (MR) versus time (t)

$$\zeta = \frac{\pi^2 D_{\text{eff}}}{4L^2} \tag{8}$$

**RESULTS & DISCUSSION** 

#### EFFECT OF TREATMENTS ON DRYING CHARACTERISTICS OF UMUDIKE GINGER (I AND II) USING ACTIVE SOLAR DRYER

The solar drying was carried out from February to March 2019. During the drying experiment, the initial moisture content of 71.12 and 72.47% (wb) for UG I and UG II were reduced to a final moisture content of 6.09 and 6.94% (wb). The results of the experiment under different treatment controlled and conditions are presented and discussed.

#### Drying characteristics of Unblanched UG I and UG II varieties of ginger rhizomes

Figure 4 to 7 show the drying curves of unblanched and blanched UG I and UG II ginger varieties for the whole peeled, whole unpeeled, split peeled, and split unpeeled treatments dried under solar drying process.

Unblanched treatment, there was an initial high moisture removal at the falling rate period, followed by slow moisture removal at the constant rate period of drying, as shown in Figure 4 to 7. At the continuation of drying, the rate of moisture released to the drying air tends to reduce.



Figure 4. Effect of peeling on the drying characteristics of UG I and UG II (a) whole peeled







Figure 6. Effect of spliting on the drying characteristics of UG I and UG II (c) split peeled



Figure 7. Effect of spliting on the drying characteristics of UG I and UG II (d) split unpeeled

The process of drying continues till equilibrium moisture content was attained. It can also be observed that moisture content decreased continuously with drying time. The drying process for the samples ended in the range of the falling rate period. This implies that diffusion is the most physical mechanism governing moisture in the materials, movements which are dependent on the moisture content of the samples (Akpinar et al., 2003; Doymaz, 2007b, Prachayawarakorn et al., 2008)

The curves of both drying rate periods agree with the results of other studies on basil, plantain, and banana (Rocha *et al.*, 1993; Saeed *et al.*, 2006).

# — Effect of Blanching time on the Drying characteristics of UG I and UG II

The results of the effect of blanching time on the drying characteristics of UG I and UG II samples of whole peeled, whole unpeeled, split peeled, and split unpeeled treatments dried under active solar dryer are shown in Figures 8 to 15, respectively. Blanching increases the drying rate (Bala, 1997).

There is a significant difference between the drying curves for blanched and unblanched samples for whole and split UG I and UG II samples. This difference becomes minimal for whole peeled, split peeled and split unpeeled treatments. This might be because, during blanching, the samples were partially cooked, and some cells or tissues of split peeled, split unpeeled and whole peeled UG I and UG II samples might be disrupted or loosened. As a result, moisture diffusion was higher, and hence the drying rate was higher. Similar results have been reported by Hossain *et al.* (2007) for red chili.

The moisture content of the whole unpeeled UG I and UG II samples, gradual moisture removal are noted during the drying period, and this is true for either blanched whole unpeeled UG I and UG II samples and unblanched whole unpeeled UG I and UG II samples. This shows that the thick skin of the whole unpeeled UG I and UG II samples reduces moisture diffusion through the skin.

At Figure 8 and 15, it was observed that split peeled UG I and UG II, blanched at 50°C for 3mins, gained moisture from the environment over the night, which affected the slope of the Figure.



Figure 8. Effect of blanching time on the drying characteristics of Whole peeled UG I



Figure 9. Effect of blanching time on the drying characteristics of Whole peeled UG II



Figure 10. Effect of blanching time on the drying characteristics of Whole unpeeled UG I



Figure 11. Effect of blanching time on the drying characteristics of Whole unpeeled UG II



Figure 12. Effect of blanching time on the drying characteristics of Split peeled UG I



Figure 13. Effect of blanching time on the drying characteristics of Split peeled UG II



Figure 14. Effect of blanching time on drying characteristics of Split unpeeled UG I



Figure 15. Effect of blanching time on drying characteristics of Split unpeeled UG II

— **Drying rate of UG I and UG II unblanched** Figures 16 to 27 shows the drying rate curves of unblanched and blanched UG I and UG II samples with various treatments against drying time. It was observed that the drying rate decreased with sample treatments. It could also be observed that drying time increased for a split peeled samples treatment due to decreased drying rate. A similar observation was reported (Maskan *et al.*, 2002; Agarry and Owabor, 2012).







Figure 17. Effect of peeling on drying rate on drying time at whole unpeeled UG I and UG II







Figure 19. Effect of splitting on drying rate of Split unpeeled UG I and UG II

40 University Politehnica Timisoara – Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara

The highest drying rate was found for split peeled UG I and UG II samples, followed by unpeeled split samples and then whole peeled samples, while the whole unpeeled samples were the least drying rate.

The split peeled samples' highest drying rate might be due to higher diffusion for split peeled samples because of its one cut surface and the peeled back, which has a small diffusion length to travel towards the cut surface.

The diffusion rate of whole unpeeled samples was minimal, and the drying rate was also extremely low. This was also observed during the cabinet drying process.

## — Drying rate of blanched UG I and UG II



Figure 20. Effect of blanching on drying rate and drying time of whole peeled UG I





Figure 22. Effect of blanching on drying rate and drying time of whole unpeeled UG I Figure 26. Effect of blanching on drying rate and drying time of Split unpeeled UG I

















Figure 27. Effect of blanching on drying rate and drying time of Split unpeeled UG II Figure 28 and 29 show the drying measurement and parameter recorded during the drying duration. The various sample treatments and varieties were loaded with a different tray at the various drying layers, inside the solar drying chamber at the same time intervals.



Figure 28. Drying parameter values for solar drying



Figure 29. Solar meter reading for sun intensity for two weeks

The drying chamber temperature is shown to approach its peak during the midday at 12:00 pm, and it was always higher than ambient temperature. Solar intensity tends to be highest around 11:30 am to 2:00 pm, after which it starts going down. Relative humidity has a reverse trend of drying chamber and ambient temperature due to the heated air relative humidity decreased sharply towards the noon period. Wind speed was higher during the afternoon and evening periods compared to early morning time. An increase in drying rate coincided with an increase in solar intensity. It was observed that the maximum drying rate occurs between 12:00 pm and 2:00 pm. The drying was carried out from February to March 2019. A period of about a month, between 10 to 70 hours' duration.

### Determination of Effective Moisture Diffusivity for Active Solar Dryer

The results for effective moisture diffusivity for unblanched and blanched UG I and UG II treatments dried under Active solar dryer were computed and presented in Tables 1 and 2, using equations 7 and 8, after obtaining k value from plot of In MR versus time.

Sample	UGI		UG II	
type	K (Hrs) <sup>-1</sup>	Deff (m <sup>2</sup> /s)	K (Hrs) <sup>-1</sup>	Deff (m <sup>2</sup> /s)
	UNBLANCHED			
WP	-0.00368	9.082E05	-0.00358	8.836E-05
WUP	-0.00664	1.639E-04	-0.00608	1.501E-04
SP	-0.00378	9.329E-05	0.00324	7.996E-05
SUP	-0.00763	1.883E-04	-0.00817	2.016E-04
	BLANCHED @ 3 Mins			
WP	-0.00344	8.490E-05	-0.00543	1.340E-04
WUP	-0.00511	1.261E-04	-0.00357	8.8E—05
SP	-0.00711	1.755E-04	-0.00742	1.83E—04
SUP	-0.0078	1.925E-04	-0.00923	2.278E-04
	BLANCHED @ 6 Mins			
WP	-0.00278	6.86E-05	-0.00454	1.120E-04
WUP	-0.00327	8.070E-05	-0.00246	6.07E05
SP	-0.00511	1.261E-04	-0.00626	1.545E04
SUP	-0.00811	2.00E-04	-0.00799	1.972E-04
	BLANCHED @ 9 Mins			
WP	-0.00385	9.50E-05	-0.0047	1.160E-04
WUP	-0.00512	1.264E-04	-0.00242	5.973E-05
SP	-0.00304	7.503E-05	-0.00555	1.370E-04
SUP	-0.00799	1.97E-04	-0.0071	1.752E04

Table 1. Unblanched and Blanched UG I and UG II

where, WP – Whole peeled; WUP – Whole unpeeled; SP – Split peeled; SUP – Split unpeeled; k – Slope; Deff – Effective moisture diffusivity

The effective moisture diffusivity values increased considerably with the increase in drying rate. This might be explained by the increased heating, which would increase the water molecules' activity, leading to higher moisture diffusivity. These values are consistent with those in literature, 1.26 to  $3.32 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$  for hot –air drying of garlic (Senadeera et al., 2003), 9.3 ×  $10^{-9}$  to  $1.06 \times 10^{-11} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$  for convective drying of ginger (Da Silva et al., 2009) and 0.35 to 1.01 ×  $10^{-10} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$  for hot–air drying of bean slices (Rossello et al., 1997).

The slope (k) was derived from the moisture ratio log variation with drying time used to calculate moisture diffusivity. This implies that the drying constant (k) was obtained from the slope of the plot of the log of moisture ratio against drying time. The higher k values confirm the elevated moisture removal rates and indicate an enhancement of drying potential (Evin, 2011; Darvishi et al., 2014).

The effective moisture diffusivity (Deff) of Unblanched UG I and UG II and slope (k) ranges from  $1.501 \times 10^{-4}$ to  $9.329 \times 10^{-5}$ m<sup>2</sup>/s and -0.00324 to -0.00817(hrs)<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This shows that the effective moisture diffusivity for unblanched treatment during solar drying was obtained at split peeled (UG I) higher than the whole unpeeled (UG II).

The effective moisture diffusivity (Deff) of Blanched UG I and UG II at 50°C and slope (k) ranges from  $1.120 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $9.50 \times 10^{-5} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$  and -0.00242 to -0.00923 (hrs)<sup>-1</sup> respectively. It shows that the effective moisture diffusivity (Deff) was higher at whole peeled (UG I) MR at 9mins and lower at whole peeled (UG II) MR at 6mins.

Sample type K (Hrs) <sup>-1</sup> K (Hrs) <sup>-1</sup> WP -0.00434 -0.00273   WUP -0.001727 -0.003761   SP -0.00343 -0.00243   SUP -0.00371 -0.00346   BLANCHED@3 Mins -0.00109 0.00109   WUP -0.00104 -0.00109   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00073 -0.00367	Cample tupe	001	0011	
UNBLANCHED   WP -0.00434 -0.00273   WUP -0.001727 -0.003761   SP -0.00343 -0.00243   SUP -0.00371 -0.00346   SUP -0.00371 -0.00346   WP -0.00104 -0.00109   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   SP -0.00119 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHEU@ 9 Mins MUP   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00367   SUP -0.00073 -0.00367	Sample type	K (Hrs) <sup>-1</sup>	K (Hrs)⁻¹	
WP -0.00434 -0.00273   WUP -0.001727 -0.003761   SP -0.00343 -0.00243   SUP -0.00371 -0.00346   BLANCHED@3 Mins BLANCHED@3 Mins   WP -0.00104 -0.00109   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00214   SP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00037 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00073 -0.00367		UNBLANCHED		
WUP -0.001727 -0.003761   SP -0.00343 -0.00243   SUP -0.00371 -0.00346   BLANCHED@3 Mins BLANCHED@3 Mins   WP -0.00104 -0.00109   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   SP -0.00031 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00073 -0.00367	WP	-0.00434	-0.00273	
SP -0.00343 -0.00243   SUP -0.00371 -0.00346   BLANCHED@3 Mins BLANCHED@3 Mins   WP -0.00104 -0.00109   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   SP -0.0031 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00073 -0.00367	WUP	-0.001727	-0.003761	
SUP -0.00371 -0.00346   BLANCHED@3 Mins BLANCHED@3 Mins   WP -0.00104 -0.00109   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   SP -0.0031 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00037 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00073 -0.00367	SP	-0.00343	-0.00243	
BLANCHED@3 Mins   WP -0.00104 -0.00109   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   SP -0.00031 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00244 -0.00282	SUP	-0.00371	-0.00346	
WP -0.00104 -0.00109   WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   SP -0.00031 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED @ 6 Mins BLANCHED @ 6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED @ 9 Mins BLANCHED @ 9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00244 -0.00282		BLANCHED @ 3 Mins		
WUP 3.48278E-05 -0.00019   SP -0.00031 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00244 -0.00282	WP	-0.00104	-0.00109	
SP -0.00031 -0.00214   SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.00244 -0.00282	WUP	3.48278E-05	-0.00019	
SUP -0.00119 -0.00228   BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -0.0244 -0.00282	SP	-0.00031	-0.00214	
BLANCHED@6 Mins   WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282	SUP	-0.00119	-0.00228	
WP -0.0007 -0.00186   WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins -0.00201 -0.00201   WUP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282		BLANCHED @ 6 Mins		
WUP -4.07982E-05 -0.00037   SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins -0.00201 WIP   WUP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282	WP	-0.0007	-0.00186	
SP -0.00245 -0.00238   SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9 Mins 0.00201 0.00201   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282	WUP	-4.07982E-05	-0.00037	
SUP -0.00134 -0.00291   BLANCHED@9Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282	SP	-0.00245	-0.00238	
BLANCHED@9 Mins   WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282	SUP	-0.00134	-0.00291	
WP -0.00099 -0.00201   WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282		BLANCHED @ 9 Mins		
WUP 7.22789E-05 -0.00014   SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282	WP	-0.00099	-0.00201	
SP -0.00073 -0.00367   SUP -00244 -0.00282	WUP	7.22789E-05	-0.00014	
SUP -00244 -0.00282	SP	-0.00073	-0.00367	
	SUP	-00244	-0.00282	

Table 2. Unblanched and Blanched UG I and UG II

The differences between the results in the literature and present investigation can be explained by the effect of drying methods, unblanched and blanched treatments, and tissue characteristics of the UG I and UG II varieties of the samples, composition, and the proposed model used for calculations.

# **CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS**

The following conclusions can be drawn from this study:

The result for the effect of blanching on drying characteristics of UG I and UG II samples of whole peeled, whole unpeeled, split peeled, and split unpeeled treatments under active solar dryers, indicates that splitting increases the drying rate. The above indicates that this work's general objective concerning experimental and analytical studies of thin-layer drying process of ginger rhizomes for an active solar drying of two varieties of ginger, UG I and UG II were met.

Further research concerning the effect of initial moisture content, relative humidity, and airflow rate on drying characteristics of UG I and UG II, Whole and Split treatments, for the optimization of the drying process.

These drying methods on thermal properties such as thermal conductivity, specific heat capacity, and thermal diffusivity should also be investigated.

# References

[1] Agarry S.E., and Owabor O. (2012). Modelling the Drying characteristics and Kinetics of Hot Air drying of Unblanched Whole Red pepper and Blanched Bitter leaf slice. Turkish Journal of Agriculture Food science and Technology 5(1):24

[2] Akpinar, E., Midilli, A. and Bicer, Y. (2003). Single–layer drying behaviour of potato slices in a convective cyclone and mathematical modeling, Journal of Energy Conversion and Management, 44(1):1689–1705.

[3] Alibas, I. (2012). Selection of the Best Suitable Thin–Layer Drying Mathematical Model for Vacuum Dried Red Chili Pepper. Journal of Biological and Environmental Science, 6(17):161–170.

[4] AOAC(1990) Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Washington DC.

[5] ASAE 1999 Standards Engineering Practices, data. 46th Edition, American Society of Agricultural Engineers.

[6] Babalis, S.J. and Belessiotis, V.G. (2004). Influence of the drying conditions on the drying constants and moisture diffusivity during the thin layer drying of Figures, Journal of Food Engineering, 65(1):449–458.

[7] Bala, B.K. 1997. Drying and Storage of Cereal Grains. Oxford and IBH publishing co. Pvt. Ltd. India.

[8] Bleoussi, T. M. R., Fofana, M., Bokossa, I. and Futakuchi, K. (2010). Effect of parboiling and storage on grain physical and cooking characteristics of some NERICA rice varieties. Second Africa Rice Congress. Innovation and Partnerships to Realize Africa's Rice Potential. 3(3):1–7.

[9] Ceylan I., M. Aktas, H. Dogan (2007) Mathematical Modelling of drying Characteristics of tropical Fruits. Applied thermal Engineering 2007 – Elsevier

[10] Da Silva, W.P., Precker, J.W. and De Lima, A.G.B. (2009): Drying kinetics of Lima bean (Phaseolus lunatis L.) experimental determination and prediction by diffusion models. International Journal of Food Engineering, 5(3):1–9.

[11] Darvishi H., Azadbakht M., Rezaeias, IA., Farhang A. (2014): Drying characteristics of sardine fish dried with microwave heating. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 12(1): 121–127.

[12] Darvishi, H. and Hazbavi, E. (2012). Mathematical modeling of thin–layer drying behaviour of date palm. Glob J Sci Front Res Math Dec Sci, 12(10):9–17.

[13] Doungporn, S., Poomsa—ad, N. and Wiset, L. (2012). Drying Thai Hom Mali paddy equations using hot air, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen gases as drying media. Food Bioproduction Processing, 90(1):187–198.

[14] Doymaz, I. (2007b). Air-drying characteristics of tomatoes. Journal of Food Engineering, 78(4): 1291–1297.

[15] Evin, D. (2011). Thin layer drying kinetics of Gundelia tournefortii. Journal of Food Bioproduction Process, 2(1):12–15 [16] FAO (2013). Export product profile: Ginger. Retrieved from http;//exportNigeriablogspot.com/2009/12/export-product-profile-ginger.html [17] Fumen, G.A., Y.D. Yiljep and E.S.A Ajisegiri (2003). Survey of ginger processing and drying Methods in Nigeria: A case of Southern Kaduna of Kaduna State. Fourth International Conference and 25<sup>th</sup> AGM of the Nigeria Institution of Agricultural Engineers, Damaturu, Nigeria, September 8<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup>. [18] Goyal G., Fell B., Sarin A., Youle R.J., Sriram V. (2007) Role of Mitochondrial remodelling in programmed cell death in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Cell 12(5) : 807 - 816 [19] Gunhan Tuncay, Vedat Demir, Ebru Hancioglu Kuzgunkaya and Arif Hepbasli (2010) Mathematical Modelling of drying bay leaves. Research Gate, Energy Conversion and Management 46(11–12) : 1667 – 1679 [20] Hossain, M.A., Woods, J.L. and Bala, B.K. (2007). Single-layer drying characteristics and color kinetics of red chili. Int J Food Sci Technol, 42(11):1367–75. [21] Kumar, N., Sarkar, B. C. and Sharmar, H. K. (2011). Mathematical modeling of thin layer hot air drying of carrot pomace. Journal of Food Science and Technology,

[22] Lopez, A., Iguaz, A., Esnoz, A., and Virseda, P. (2000). Modeling of sorption isotherms of dried vegetable wastes from the wholesale market. Drying Technology, 18(5):985–994

49(1):33-41.

[23] Maskan, A., Kaya, S., and M. Maskan. (2002). Hot air and sun drying of grape leather (pestil). Journal of Food Engineering, 54(1): 81–88.

[24] Mortaza Aghbashlo, Mohammad Hossein Kianmehr and Seyed Reza Hassan– Beygi (2008). Specific Heat and Thermal Conductivity of Berberis Fruit (Berberis Vulgaris). American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences 3(1): 330 – 336, 2008.

[25] Okafor, G.I. (2002). Effects of pricking, sun–drying, and sieving on Ginger (zingiber Officinale Roscoe) Colour and powder. Nigerian Food. Journal 2(1): 155–160

[26] Ozbek, B. And Dadali, G. (2007). Thin–layer Drying Characteristics and Modelling of Mint leaves Undergoing Microwave Treatment. Journal of Food Engineering, 83, 541–549

[27] Panchariya, P. C., Popovic, D. and Sharma, A. L. (2002). Thin–layer modeling of the black tea drying process. Journal of Food Engineering, 52(4):349–357.

[28] Prachayawarakorn, S., Tia, W.N., Plyto, S. and Soponronnarit, S. (2008). Drying kinetics and quality attributes of low—fat banana slices dried at high temperature. Journal of Food Engineering, 85(4):509–517

[29] Ravindran, P.N. and Nirmal, B.K. (2005). Ginger: The Genus Zingiber. CRC Press, Florida, U.S.A, pp 105–114

[30] Rocha Benedita, Corinne Tanchot, Harald Von Boehmer (1993). Clonal anergy blocks in vivo growth of mature T cells and can be reversed in the absence of antigen. The Journal of experimental medicine 177(5), 1517–1521

[31] Rossello, C., Simal, S., Sanjuan, N. and Mulet, A. (1997): Nonisotropic mass transfer model for green bean drying. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 45(1):337–342.

[32] Saeed, I. E., Sopian, K. and Zainol Abidin, Z. (2006). Drying kinetics of Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.): dried in constant temperature and humidity chamber. Proc. SPS 2006. Edited by Muchtar. 29th–30th August. Permata, Bangi, S.D.E., Malaysia: 143–148.

[33] Senadeera Wijitha, Bhesh R. Bhandari, Gordon Young, Bandu Wijesinghe (2003). Influence of Shapes of Selected Vegetable materials on drying Kinetics during fluidized bed drying. Journal of Engineering 58(3) 277–283

[34] Senadeera, W., Bhandari B., Young G. and Wijesinghe, B. (2000). Physical properties and fluidization behaviour of fresh green bean particulates during fluidized bed drying. Food and Bioproducts Processing, 78(1): 43–47.







copyright © University POLITEHNICA Timisoara, Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara, 5, Revolutiei, 331128, Hunedoara, ROMANIA <u>http://acta.fih.upt.ro</u>